



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14646-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.04.2026

M.P.Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Chandigarh and others
....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Prashant Puri, Advocate, for
Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The prayer clothed in the instant writ petition appertains to quashing of the order dated 20.04.2020 (Annexure P-9), passed by the respondent No.2, whereby the monthly pension of the petitioner was reduced to ₹2,192/- w.e.f. 21.02.2013, as against the earlier sanctioned amount of ₹21,594/-.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the controversy inhering the instant writ petition has already been set at rest by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court by delivering the order dated 05.11.2024, in **CWP-971-2021 (Ashok Kumar Mahajan vs. Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Chandigarh and others)** and other connected writ petitions. It is further submitted that a batch of ten similar writ petitions, led by **CWP-4627-2025 (Manohar Lal vs. Regional Provident Fund Commissioner and others)**, was also disposed of by another Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 19.02.2025, following the decision in Ashok Kumar Mahajan's case (*supra*).



3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, fairly concedes that the issue arising for consideration in the instant writ petition is squarely covered by the order dated 05.11.2024 passed in **Ashok Kumar Mahajan (*supra*)**.

4. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is **disposed of, in terms of the order dated 05.11.2024, passed in CWP-971-2021.**

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

22.04.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No