

2026:PHHC:052990



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

121

CWP-10403-2026

Date of decision: April 07, 2026

BRIJ LAL

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Ajay Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the benefit of proportional increment to the petitioner for the service rendered by him after getting his last increment, with all consequential benefits along with arrears with interest at the rate of eighteen per cent per annum from the due date till actual payment.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before the respondents. At this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent no.2 is directed to decide the pending legal notice, dated 16.02.2026, Annexure P-6, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State, and submits that the pending legal notice, dated 16.02.2026, will be decided by respondent

no.2/Director, Secondary Education, in terms of law laid down by the Division Bench in a batch of petitions with lead case CWP-14627-2024 titled *Mahabir Singh Tanwar v. State of Haryana and others* by passing a speaking/reasoned order in accordance with law within a period of six months.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

April 07, 2026
Jaspreet Kaur

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

✓

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*