



CWP-10532-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

130

CWP-10532-2026

Date of Decision: 08.04.2026

Vikram Batra

...Petitioner

Versus

State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Karan Ranjha, Advocate for the petitioner

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No.1-State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Chandigarh (for short '**State Commission**') to decide Execution Application No.SC/4/EA/66/2025 in a time bound manner.

2. The petitioner filed an application before the State Commission against builder-respondent which was allowed in his favour vide order dated 17.02.2025. He filed execution application before the State Commission on 03.07.2025. He submits that respondent is delaying the execution proceedings on one or another pretext.

3. The petitioner is claiming that direction should be issued to State Commission to adjudicate the execution application at the earliest.

4. It is well known fact that Courts are overburdened. Every Court is inundated with civil and criminal cases. This Court cannot control board of any Court/Authority/Commission. It is State Commission which has to



CWP-10532-2026

-2-

control its board. The petitioner is supposed to approach the State Commission and point out urgency, if any.

5. In the backdrop, no order is warranted. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

08.04.2026
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No