

306

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:03/637



CWP-1986-2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision:19.03.2025

CHIEF ENGINEER/R.S.D.IRRIGATION WORKS PB

...Petitioner

Vs.

PREM KUMAR AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Malkiat Singh, DAG, Punjab, for the petitioner.
None for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

As per office report, notice has been issued to respondent no.1 which has been served, but there is no representation on his behalf.

2. On the earlier occasion also, there was no representation on behalf of the respondents.

3. Leaned State counsel argues that the challenge in the present writ petition is to the award of the Labour Court which was already stayed by the Division Bench while issuing notice of motion on 13.02.2001, which is continuing till date. He further submits that as respondent no.1 is not appearing, it can be safely presumed that respondent no.1 is not interested in pursuing the present remedy.

4. Learned counsel for the State submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having not pressed any further, with liberty to revive the same, in case respondent no.1 initiate any process to get the impugned award executed

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. It is directed that till a specific order from this Court, the impugned order will not be executed.

7. In view of above, writ petition is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of.

19.03.2025

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE