



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

CRM-M-19707-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.04.2026

GURSAB SINGH @ GURSAHIB SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Tarun Deora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.100, dated 21.04.2023, under Sections 379-B(2), 506, 34 IPC and 25, 27 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran.

2. On 16.04.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that finding returned by the trial Court while dismissing the bail that the vehicle was yet to be recovered, is incorrect in view of Annexure P2, it being a video recorded by the petitioner showing the complainant was driving the same on 10.12.2025, at 9.10 am and it is in safe custody. There is a delay of 1 day in lodging the FIR. Further, it is after a period of about 3 years that the investigating agency has sought to arrest him regarding which reference is made to SLP (Crl.) No.11234/2025 titled as ‘**Gursewak Singh vs. State of Punjab**’, decided on 03.09.2025. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 17.04.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 20.04.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Nirmal Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner on 17.04.2026 and cooperating with the investigating agency. She also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 16.04.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

20.04.2026

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No