



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.10441 of 2026
Date of Decision: 07.04.2026**

Indra Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present:- Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Addl. AG, Punjab.

* * * *

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed alleging that certain acts of respondent No.5 squarely attract disqualification under Section 16 and Section 172-A (1) of the Punjab Municipal Act, 1911 as well as clause 11 (r) of Chapter IV of Punjab State Election Commission Act, 1994, encroachments have been done by the private respondent, which fact was brought to the notice of the Municipal Council, Khanouri but, no action has been taken.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the redressal of the grievance, the petitioner has already served a legal notice dated 30.07.2025 upon the respondents, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-4 and the petitioner prays that respondent No.4 be directed to adhere to the same by passing an appropriate order.



3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Rahul Rampal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.
5. Learned State counsel submits that in case, a legal notice (Annexure P-4) has been received in the office concerned and is still pending consideration, an appropriate order on the same shall be passed within a period of four months on the receipt of the copy of this order.
6. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that in view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
7. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

07.04.2026
seema

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No