



161 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10277-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.04.2026

Harish

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Sajan, Advocate for
 Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of letter dated 14.11.2024 (Annexure P-2) whereby his claim under Deen Dayal Upadhyay Antyodaya Parivar Suraksha Yojana has been rejected on the ground of delay.

2. The petitioner claims that his father passed away on 06.12.2023. He vide application dated 12.04.2024 applied for financial assistance under aforesaid Yojna. The respondent has rejected his claim on the ground of delay.

3. Learned State counsel on being confronted with order dated 27.03.2026 passed by this Court in ***CWP No.9253 of 2026*** expressed his inability to distinguish facts and issues involved in the present petition from said order.

4. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of in terms of order dated 27.03.2026 passed in *CWP No.9253 of 2026.*
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

06.04.2026
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No