

216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026.PHHC.022421



FAO-3565-2003 (O&M)
Date of Decision:13.02.2026

MAYA BAJPAI

...Appellant

Vs.

SANJAY KUMAR AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. None is present on behalf of the appellant today. On the previous date of hearing, learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the previous counsel representing the appellant has been elevated to the Bench of this Court and that the appellant requires time to engage new counsel for representation in these proceedings.

2. Notice was issued to the appellant, but it has been received back with the report of an incomplete address, remaining unanswered.

3. In the circumstances, having regard to the continuous non-appearance and non-cooperation of the appellant, it can be safely inferred that the parties are no longer interested in pursuing the present appeal. In such a situation, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending on the Court's docket indefinitely.

4 Accordingly, the instant appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

5. As a natural corollary, in view of the dismissal of the main appeal, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as their continuance would serve no productive purpose.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

13.02.2026

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No