

**CR No.2978 of 2026****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CR No.2978 of 2026

Date of decision: May 6th, 2026

Viveck Goel

.....Petitioner

Versus

Rajeev Sood

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**Present:** Mr. Parmanand Yadav and Mr. Ambanshu Sahni, Advocates
for the petitioner.Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate
for the respondent.**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Learned counsel for the respondent has pointed out that the present petition deserves to be dismissed at the threshold, inasmuch as there is active concealment of facts. It is submitted that the National Company Law Tribunal, New Delhi, vide order dated 09.03.2026 had dismissed the application under Section 94 of the Insolvency & Bankruptcy Code, 2016 filed by the petitioner. A copy of the said order dated 09.03.2026 has been handed over to the Court, which is taken on record as Mark 'A'. It is submitted that although the present petition was drafted on 30.03.2026 but the same does not make a mention of the said order and rather an argument is sought to be raised on the basis of the right of the petitioner to file an application under Section 94 of the Insolvency & Bankruptcy Code, 2016.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he wishes to withdraw the present petition.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn.



CR No.2978 of 2026

-2-

4. This Court was inclined to impose cost but in view of the forthwith request made by the counsel for the petitioner, this Court restrains itself from imposing any cost. However, a note of caution is given to the petitioner to approach the Court with clean hands.

May 6th, 2026
Puneet

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No