



CRM-M-21180-2026

-1-

(224)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21180-2026

Date of Decision: 07.05.2026

CCL RXX

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDIPresent: Mr. Udit Goyal, Advocate (through V.C.)
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, Asstt. A.G., Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.905 dated 15.12.2023 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 302 IPC (Section 103 BNS) [Sections 404, 382 and 201 IPC (Sections 315, 307, 238 of BNS) added later on] at Police Station Palla, Faridabad.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no person has been named as an accused in the FIR. The petitioner came to be nominated later with the allegations that he was seen on CCTV footage taking away scooty of the deceased. As the petitioner is a juvenile, is in custody since 26.12.2023 but only 04 of the 28 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

**CRM-M-21180-2026****-2-**

3. A reply dated 06.05.2026 by way of an affidavit of Sanjeev Kumar, HPS, Asstt. Commissioner of Police, Old, Faridabad has been filed on behalf of the State by the learned counsel for the State. The same is taken on record. While referring to the reply, he contends that though the petitioner is not named in the FIR, he was apprehended and the recovery of the scooty of the deceased along with Rs.500/- came to be effected from him. He, however, concedes that the petitioner is a juvenile, is in custody since 26.12.2023 but only 04 of the 28 of the prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is a juvenile, is in custody since 26.12.2023 but only 04 of the 28 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

6. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-CCL RXXX S/o Om Prakash is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

07.05.2026

JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No