



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3796-2026

Date of Decision: 02.04.2026

Date of Uploading: 02.04.2026

Sakina and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Ms. Deepika, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SUMEET GOEL, J.(Oral)

By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

2. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 (i.e. official respondents).

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

4. As per the petitioners, they have married on 31.03.2026 against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7, who happen to be the family members/relative of the petitioners.

5. Both the petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their Aadhar Cards indicating that date of birth of petitioner No.1-Sakina is 15.02.2006 and petitioner No.2-Savan is 05.02.2005.

6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage as also the merits of contentions raised in the petition, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 (Superintendent of Police) to look into the representation dated 01.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) stated to have been submitted by the petitioners & pass appropriate orders thereupon within two weeks from today.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of private respondents to seek recall of this order on showing sufficient cause.

8. However, if the petitioners are found to have been involved in any other case, then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.

9. The petition stands disposed of.

April 02, 2026
Yag Dutt

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No