



**802 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4082-2005 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.01.2026

Ram Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

The DGP, Sector 6, Panchkula and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of notice/order dated 24.09.2004 whereby he was ordered to retire on attaining the age of 55 years.

2. The petitioner belonged to Haryana Police Force and was holding rank of Assistant Sub Inspector. The respondent in exercise of power conferred by Rule 5.32A of Punjab Civil Services Rules, Volume II and Rule 3.26(d) of Punjab Civil Services Rules, Volume I, Part I, as applicable to State of Haryana, ordered to retire him w.e.f. 24.12.2004.

3. The impugned order was passed on 24.09.2004 and a period of more than 21 years has passed away. As per Punjab Police Rules, 1934 (as applicable to State of Haryana) read with Civil Services Rules, the State Government may or may not retain an employee beyond 55 years.

The petitioner has already attained age of 58 years. He must have

received pension during the period which could be extended beyond 55 years. Amount of pension is normally 50% of last drawn pay. As petitioner has already received 50% of pay without work, there seems no reason at this stage to interfere with impugned order.

4. In the wake of above discussion and findings, this Court is of the considered opinion that the present petition being bereft of merit deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

29.01.2026
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No