



**132 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3822-2026

Date of decision : 06.04.2026

Gurpreet Singh and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Anmol Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition is for directing respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners and also for directing respondents No.5 to 10 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners and further directing respondents No.2 to 4 not to allow/let respondents No.5 to 10 or anybody else to interfere with the liberty and lives of the petitioners or to harass them in any manner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.5 to 10 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.5 to 10. Their photographs and marriage certificate evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3/4 and P-5. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have filed representation dated 01.04.2026 (Annexure P-6) before respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur.
3. Notice of motion to official respondents No.1 to 3, only.

**CRWP-3822-2026****-2-**

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Raj Karan Singh, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, to decide the representation dated 01.04.2026 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

6. Disposed of.

(**RAJESH BHARDWAJ**)
JUDGE

06.04.2026*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No