



RSA-615-2001(O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(214)

RSA-615-2001(O&M)

Date of Decision:-24.02.2026

LABH KAUR

... Appellant

Versus

LABH SINGH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Ms. Pallavi Bahre, Advocate
for Mr. A.P. Kauhsal, Amicus Curiae
for the appellant.

Mr. Akhil Saini, Advocate,
Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate
for respondents No.10, 11 and 12.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Vide Order dated 02.09.2025, Mr. A.P. Kaushal, Advocate was appointed as *amicus curiae* and he was directed to contact the appellant and the counsel representing him in the District Courts. Learned counsel submitted that neither the appellant nor the counsel representing the appellant in the District Courts has shown any interest. So, he is unable to comply with the directions issued by the Court for filing correct address of respondents No.1, 2, 6, 13 to 20 and for impleading LR's of respondent No.21. This is an appeal arising out of the suit for declaration and possession. Service of all the respondents is necessary as they are necessary party and were appellants before the First Appellate Court. In the absence of their service, the appeal cannot proceed further.

2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order.



RSA-615-2001(O&M)

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

24th February, 2026

Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No