



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

153

CWP-10179-2026

Date of Decision: 06.04.2026

TINKU

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Akshay Kumar Dahiya, Advocate (through V.C.) &
Mr. Tushar Kaushik, Advocate for petitioner

Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Addl. A.G., Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to release payment with respect to work carried out by him for the respondent along with interest @ 9% per annum.

2. Learned State counsel on instruction from Mr. Rohit Kumar, Executive Engineer, PHED Division-I, Rohtak submits that competent authority would consider petitioner's claim and if any admitted liability is found, it would be discharged within three months from today.

3. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands **disposed of** with a direction to respondent to release payment to the



petitioner within three months from today failing which would be liable to pay interest @ 9% per annum from the expiry of said period.

4. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

06.04.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No