

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026:PHHC:009571



(221)

RSA-5026-2001 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-22.01.2026

RAM KISHAN

... Appellant

Versus

SURINDER KUMAR AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Rohit Sharma, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Anish Setia, Advocate,
Mr. Surinder Singh, Advocate,
Mr. Karan Diwan, Advocate
for the respondents.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Counsel for the appellant submitted that the appellant is not in touch with him for quite some time and they have no instructions to appear on behalf of the appellants.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

22nd January, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No