



132

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10162-2026

Date of decision: April 20, 2026

MS SARTHAK WOOLLEN MILLS AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

NAIB TEHSILDAR, PANIPAT AND OTHERS

....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Harkirat Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Addl. AG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioners had approached this Court at a prior point of time challenging the rejection of One Time Settlement (OTS) vide order dated 19.03.2025. Writ petition preferred by petitioners came up for hearing on 09.04.2025 (Annexure P-22) and the following order was passed:-

“ Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he wants to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to seek recourse to the alternative remedy in accordance with law and to approach the respondent-Bank for redressal of their grievance.

The petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.”

2. Petitioners have once again approached this Court seeking the same relief.
3. After hearing, this Court is inclined to dismiss this writ petition by imposing cost upon the petitioners as petitioners are re-agitating the matter, but on an earnest request made by learned counsel for the petitioners, he is permitted to withdraw this writ petition.
4. Writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

April 20, 2026
Rts

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No