



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

DECIDED ON: 12.01.2026

1. CRM-M-19299-2025

AJAY AHLAWATPETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

2. CRM-M-21955-2025

DEEPAKPETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. Sandeep Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioners (in both the cases).

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Petitioner – Ajay Ahlawat, has been filed petition i.e. CRM-M-19299-2025, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case, FIR No.475, dated 06.12.2024, under Sections 115(2), 3(5), 351(3), 287 of BNS, 2023 and 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Purani Sabzi Mandi, District Rohtak.

2. Petitioner – Deepak, has been filed petition i.e. CRM-M-21955-2025, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case, FIR No.475, dated 06.12.2024, under Sections 115(2), 3(5), 351(3a), 287a of BNS,

2023 and 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Purani Sabzi Mandi, District Rohtak.

3. Today, on the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners (in both the cases) submits that present petitions can be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, on account of the fact that FIR in question has itself been quashed by this Court, vide order dated 11.12.2025 passed in CRM-M-29317-2025.

4. In view of the statement made by counsel for the petitioners, both the present petitions stand disposed of, as the same having been rendered infructuous.

5. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

12.01.2026
Lavisha

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>