



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

229

RSA-4992-2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-23.03.2026

Bhajan KaurAppellant
Versus

Chand KaurRespondent

RSA-424-2002 (O&M)

Bhajan KaurAppellant
Versus

Chand Kaur and Ors.Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Tarun Jindal, Advocate, with
Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the appellant in both cases.

Mr. Harjeet Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr. S. S. Brar, Advocate, for
respondent No.1 in RSA-4992-2001.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant-Bhajan Kaur has expired and he has no instructions in the matter, as the legal representatives of the deceased-appellant are not in contact with him.

2. In view of the above, and considering the fact that the present appeals pertain to the year 2001, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending. Accordingly, the present appeals are dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the LRs of the



deceased-appellant in both the cases to seek revival of the same, if so advised, as per law.

- 3. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.
- 4. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

23.03.2026
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No