



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

223

RSA-4927-2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-11.03.2026

Raj KumarAppellant
Versus

Sham Lal and OthersRespondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr Deepak Jain, Advocate, for
Mr. Akshay Jain, Advocate, for the appellant.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant submits that his client is not in contact with him and, therefore, prays that the present appeal may kindly be dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to seek revival of the same, if any cause of action arises.
2. In view of the above, and considering the fact that the present appeal pertains to the year 2001, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the appellant to seek revival of the same, if so advised, as per law.
3. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

11.03.2026
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No