



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

149

CWP-10330-2026

Date of decision : 06.04.2026

Amandeep Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing the result-cum-CML dated 18.02.2026 (Annexure P-6) to the extent of including the roll numbers of candidates, who were otherwise ineligible under SC ESM (R&O) (Self). Further, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to revise the result-cum-CML after including the name of eligible candidates under SC ESM (R&O) category including the present petitioner and accordingly offer appointment to the petitioner on the post in question along with all consequential benefits. Further, direction may be issued to the respondent-Board to recommend the name of the petitioner for the post of Inspector Audit, advertised vide Advertisement dated 27.06.2025 (Annexure P-1), under ESM SC (R&O) category, being duly eligible, meritorious and next in the merit, especially when all the 03 posts meant for the said category remained vacant and to grant him all consequential benefits.



CWP-10330-2026

-2-

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for redressal of her grievances, the petitioner has also submitted representation dated 10.03.2026 (Annexure P-8) before the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Swapan Shorey, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to consider and decide representation dated 10.03.2026 (Annexure P-8) submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

06.04.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No