



CRM-M-19013-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

CRM-M-19013-2025
Decided on: 24.02.2026

Rinku

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG Haryana

Mr. Imran Mohd., Advocate
for the complainant

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.06 dated 05.01.2025, under Sections 406, 420 (corresponding of IPC Sections 316(2) and 318(4) of BNS, 2023) at PS Naraingarh, District Ambala.

2. On 15.07.2025, following order was passed:

“(i) Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Rinku, aged about 32 years	06	05.01.2025	406, 420 (corresponding of IPC Sections 316(2) and 318(4) of BNS, 2023)	Naraingarh	Ambala

(ii) Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that in fact the deal



CRM-M-19013-2025

was struck in April, 2024, and as an admitted fact in the FIR, on 26.04.2024 itself, amount towards total expenses of Rs.13,50,000/- was also disclosed and then accepted by the complainant for sending his son to Germany. On 10.05.2024, the complainant had sent his son, namely; Vinod to Germany, who thereafter, has now settled in France. On account of demanding the rest of the payment, a complaint has been moved against the petitioner, which resulted in the resignation of present FIR by the police.

(iii) Learned counsel also submits that balance amount payable by the complainant to the petitioner-firm, is to the tune of Rs.5.00 lacs to 7.50 lacs, as son of the complainant is now well settled in France by having Schengen visa. In fact, complainant wants to come out of the liability of paying the balance amount, which he is bound to pay as per the agreement done before sending his son abroad.

Moreover, except of the money dispute, there is no other grouse expressed by the complainant in the FIR, therefore, he is ready to join investigation subject to the protection from arrest. Thus, prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail.

(iv) Notice of motion.

(v) On asking of the Court, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice and seeks some time to respond to the submissions addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions, and, in case of necessity, to file status report.

Let a copy of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

(vi) Adjourned to 26.05.2025.

(vii) In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

(viii) Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the directions issued by this Court vide order dated 15.07.2025, the petitioner has



CRM-M-19013-2025

joined investigation.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Naresh Kumar, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation by the petitioner and also shares the additional information that complainant's son is settled in Germany and is living there happily, he even hosted a party in the village. Regarding the satisfaction of complainant, statements of other co-villagers were also recorded. He further submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 15.07.2025 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

7. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

8. However, present order would be subject to the submission of his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

9. It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

24.02.2026

reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/~~NO~~
~~YES~~/NO