

CWP- 10109-2026(O&M)

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

132

CWP-10109-2026(O&M)
Date of decision: 06.04.2026

Amarnath

... Petitioner

Vs.


Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. H.S. Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Piyush Khanna, Advocate
for the respondents.**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondent Nos.1 to 6 to regularize the services of the petitioner with effect from 01.08.2023, being the date of completion of 10 years of his service, or alternatively, from the date of judgment dated 31.12.2025 passed by this Court in a bunch of writ petitions led by **CWP-31304-2025** titled as ***Joginder Vs. State of Haryana and Others***, as well as in light of the judgment dated 19.01.2026 passed in **CWP No.13015-2021** titled as ***Manak Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Others***, whereby the case of the petitioner is stated to be squarely covered. It is further prayed that the respondents be directed to grant all consequential benefits, including



arrears of pay with interest @12% per annum, after regularization of his service from the date he completed 10 years of service i.e. 01.08.2023.


2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was engaged as a full-time employee on 01.08.2013, but was subsequently treated as a part-time employee. He has been performing the duties of Mali-cum-Sweeper under the control of respondent No.5 and supervision of respondent No.6. Having completed 12 years and 07 months of service, the petitioner has become entitled to regularization in light of the recent judgments of this Court in ***Joginder (supra)*** and ***Manak Singh (supra)***. It is further submitted that despite service of a legal notice-cum-representation dated 26.02.2026 (Annexure P-3), the respondents have taken no action for regularization of the petitioner's services.

3. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents has raised no objection to the relief sought by the petitioner.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record with their able assistance.

5. It transpires that the issue raised in the present writ petition has been conclusively dealt by this Court in ***Manak Singh (supra)***. The factual matrix and the legal entitlement of the petitioner are squarely covered by the same. Learned counsel for the respondents has fairly conceded the same.

6. Consequently, the present writ petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the legal notice-cum-representation dated 26.02.2026 (Annexure P-3) and pass a speaking order,



CWP- 10109-2026(O&M)

-3-

after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order in the light of the judgments rendered by this Court in **Manak Singh(supra)**

7. Further, the decision taken on the legal notice-cum-representation dated 26.02.2026 (Annexure P-3) shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

8. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same be granted to him forthwith by the competent authority.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

06.04.2026
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No