



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10108-2026

Date of Decision : **06.04.2026**

VANDANA RANI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms. Riti Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tejinder Pal Singh Walia, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, when this Court was not inclined to grant the asked for relief, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she restricts her argument only to the extent that representation dated 19.03.2026 (Annexure P-4), of the petitioner, is at least, required to be decided by respondent no.2, which has not been done till date, due to the indolent and lackadaisical approach of the latter concerned.

2. She further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case a *mandamus* is passed upon respondent no.2, to take a final decision on representation (*supra*), in a time bound manner.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel, submits that he has no objection, if the such a direction is passed upon respondent no.2.

4. Considering the prayer, as made by learned counsel for the petitioner, being innocuous and *bona fide*, this Court, without observing anything with regard to the eligibility of the petitioner to sit in the examinations *qua* which she has been issued roll number, however, not the admit card, a positive *mandamus* is passed upon respondent no.2, to take a final decision on the representation (*supra*), by passing a speaking order, within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

April 06, 2026
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No