



CRM-M-17886-2026(O&M)

1

106

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17886-2026(O&M)
Date of decision: 02.04.2026

SANDEEP KUMAR ALIAS MUNDRI

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.


H.S.GREWAL, J. (ORAL)CRM-M-14228-2026

This application has been filed for exemption from filing the certified typed and legible copies of Annexure P-1 as well as the impugned order dated 20.03.2026.

Allowed as prayed for.

Main case:

1. This is a petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS (Section 438 of Cr.P.C) for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 2 dated 05.01.2026 under Sections 18 (29 added later) of NDPS, 1985 registered at Police Station Naggal, District Ambala.
2. The case of the prosecution is that one Pritam Chand was found in possession of 81.34 grams of opium and during the course of investigation, he suffered a disclosure statement to the effect that the contraband recovered from him had been supplied by the present petitioner.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has

**CRM-M-17886-2026(O&M)****2**

been falsely implicated in the present case and that his name has been surfaced solely on the basis of the disclosure statement made by the co-accused, which is not admissible in evidence. He further submits that no recovery has been effected from the petitioner and that, apart from the said disclosure statement, there is no other evidence to connect him with the alleged offence. He further submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Ms. Malvika Singh, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. She has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. She further submits that the petitioner is involved in two other cases under the NDPS Act.

6. I have heard the submissions made by the parties and gone through the record.

7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations against the petitioner, and the fact that he is involved in two other cases under the NDPS Act, which reflects his criminal antecedents and habitual conduct, this Court is of the view that no exceptional or extra ordinary circumstances are made out to extend the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Consequently, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

02.04.2026*renu***(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No