



137

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-873-2026 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 06.04.2026**

SURJIT SINGH**.....PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB**.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.**

Present: Mr. Vikram Satpal Anand, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, challenging the order dated 27.02.2026, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran, whereby application moved by the petitioner for releasing of the Creta car, bearing registration No.HR-21L-0131, on superdari, was dismissed.
2. As per the allegations, 610 grams of heroin were recovered from a Creta car, bearing registration No. HR-21L-0131. Consequently, FIR No.116 dated 06.11.2023, under Section 21-C of the NDPS Act, was registered against the petitioner at Police Station Valtaha, District Tarn Taran, and the aforesaid vehicle was taken into possession.
3. An application for superdari filed by the petitioner was dismissed, vide the impugned order dated 27.02.2026, by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran, while observing as under:-

“Heard. Record perused. As per record, the present FIR was registered on 06.11.2023 and on the same day, the car in question was also recovered from the accused and as per documents i.e. affidavit placed on record by the counsel for the accused, was procured after the occurrence about 4 months later, so there is no ground to allow the present sapurdari application and therefore, the sapurdari application stands dismissed. File be consigned to the record room.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, subsequent to the passing of impugned order dated 27.02.2026, the vehicle in question has, in the recent past, been registered in the name of the petitioner. He, therefore, prays that one opportunity be granted to the petitioner to move an application before the trial Court for release of the aforesaid car on superdari.

5. Notice of motion.

6. On advance notice, Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab, puts an appearance on behalf of the respondent/State.

7. This Court has heard the submissions addressed by learned counsel for the parties and has also perused the impugned order dated 27.02.2026.

8. *Prima facie*, it appears that petitioner’s prayer was dismissed on the ground that he had produced only an affidavit to establish ownership of the vehicle; however, the said vehicle has now been registered in the name of the petitioner.

9. In view of the above, impugned order dated 27.02.2026 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran, is hereby set aside, and **present petition stands disposed of.**

10. However, it will be open for the petitioner to move an appropriate application before the trial Court, for release of the vehicle in question on superdari. The concerned Court shall decide the same afresh, in accordance with law, within a period of 15 days from the date of filing of such application, on the basis of the documents establishing ownership of the vehicle.

06.04.2026

Lavisha

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>