

2026:PHHC:029215



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

**RSA-4456-2001 (O&M)
Date of decision :24.02.2026**

OM PARKASH AND OTHERS

... APPELLANTS

VERSUS

PARTAP AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL

Present: Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Sushil Sheoran, Advocate
for LR's of respondent No. 1.

Mr. Mani Ram Verma, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2, 3, 8, 12, 16, 17 and 21.

PARMOD GOYAL, J. (ORAL)

1. As per the office report, notices issued to appellant Nos. 2, 6, 10, 16 and 18 have been received back duly served. Notices issued to appellant Nos. 1 and 7 have been received back with the report that they are not residing at the given address. Notices issued to appellant Nos. 1, 3 to 5, 8, 9, 11 to 15 and 17 have been received back with the report that they have expired.

2. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that he has lost contact with his clients. Even counsel for the respondents expresses inability to furnish any fresh address of the appellants or to take steps for impleadment of their legal representatives.



RSA-4456-2001 (O&M) -2-

- 3. In these circumstances, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal.

- 4. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the appellants to seek revival of the same by showing sufficient cause.

- 5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of accordingly.

24.02.2026
manoj

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No