



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(Sr. No. 233)

(1)

RSA No. 4426 of 2001 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 01.04.2026

Sudesh Kumari

...Appellant

Versus

Sunita and another

...Respondents

(2)

RSA No. 1940 of 2006 (O&M)

Sudesh Kumari

...Appellant

Versus

Parikshit Rana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellant in both cases.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate
for respondent No. 1 in RSA-4426-2001.

Ms. Falheen, Advocate for
Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No. 1 in RSA-1940-2006.

Respondent No. 2 has been proceeded against ex-parte
v.o.d. 04.09.2002 in RSA-4426-2001.

Amarinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

No one had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the last date
of hearing. Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.

It seems that appellant is not interested in pursuing the present remedy.



2. The present appeals stand dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to the appellant to seek their revival within the period of limitation, in case, any cogent cause of action survives.

3. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

4. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

April 01, 2026
kanchan

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No