



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

133

CRR-883-2026

Decided on:04.05.2026

Gurvir Singh**.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab****.....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. S.S. Rangi, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Kawal Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present revision petition has been filed against the order dated 12.01.2026, whereby the petitioner has been wrongly and illegally charged under Section 105 of the BNS / Section 304 of the IPC in a case arising out of FIR No. 68 dated 28.07.2025, registered under Section 105 of the BNS at Police Station Maloud, District Khanna.
2. It has been pointed out by the learned State counsel that vehicle, i.e., an ACE Tata Tempo (a small transport vehicle), driven by the petitioner, was carrying a total of 29 persons, out of whom 10 persons lost their lives.
3. The judgment of this Court (Punjab and Haryana High Court at Chandigarh) in '*Milkha Singh vs. State of Punjab*' (Law Finder Doc ID No. 1132795) was rendered in appellate proceedings. The view therein was taken after reappraisal of evidence. Although the said judgment deals with the framing of charge and could have persuasive value in support of



the petitioner's contentions, the same is not applicable to the present case in view of the distinct factual circumstances involved herein.

4. At this stage, it has been observed that the order dated 12.01.2026 and consequential charge sheet of the same date do not warrant interference. Accordingly, present petition is disposed of with the observation that the petitioner shall be at liberty to raise all arguments at the appropriate stage of the trial, i.e., at the final stage after the complete evidence has been adduced by the prosecution, or even in defence.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 04, 2026

rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**