



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

213

**CRM-M-18085-2026 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 05.05.2026.**

Vikas

....Petitioner.

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Suraj Mandhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amish Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Bhavuk Datta, Advocate and

Mr. Deepak Narula, Advocate for respondent No.2/complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner-Vikas has filed this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.762 dated 30.12.2025, under Sections 316(2), 318(4) of BNS, registered at Police Station Sector 32-33, District Karnal.

2. After hearing counsel for the petitioner, following was recorded on 08.04.2026:-

“i) xxx

ii) As per the prosecution, FIR was registered by complainant-Bablu. It is alleged in the complaint that accused-Vikas, fraudulently usurped Rs.7,50,000/- from him. Complainant had addressed the matter to Civil Line, Karnal, on

27.10.2025, but no action was taken, as the amount was reportedly given for sending his son abroad. During the inquiry, complainant recorded a statement confirming that the amount of Rs.7,50,000/- was collected on the promise of sending his son to Georgia; however, his son, was sent to Cambodia.

iii) Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is ready and willing to settle the dispute.

iv) On the oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, Bablu s/o Sewa Ram, resident of Suraj Nagar, Karnal, is impleaded as Party-Respondent No. 2.

v) Let amended memo of parties be filed in the Registry within two days from today, without moving any separate application.

vi) Notice of motion for 28.04.2026.

vii) The SHO of the concerned area shall also inform the complainant/Respondent No. 2-Bablu, of the next date fixed before this Court.

viii) Upon the appearance of Respondent No. 2, Bablu, before this Court, petitioner shall pay him Rs. 20,000/- as litigation expenses.”

3. On appearance of complainant (respondent No.2), on 28.04.2026, it was complained that though there is direction by the Court to pay litigation expenses of Rs.20,000/- to respondent No.2, but same has not been paid. Therefore, affording another opportunity, proceedings were deferred for 29.04.2026. Again time was sought and proceedings were deferred for 05.05.2026 i.e. for today.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that petitioner is not ready to pay the litigation expenses to respondent No.2/complainant and, therefore, he has no instructions to argue the petition.

5. Moreover, this Court has already noticed the allegations that

petitioner-Vikas has fraudulently usurped Rs.7,50,000/- from the complainant which amount was obtained by him under the pretext of sending the son of the complainant to Georgia, whereas the son of complainant was sent to Cambodia.

6. In view of the allegations and that the petitioner is not even ready to pay the litigation expenses, this Court finds that petitioner is not entitled to any relief which is based upon principle of equity to impart justice. Therefore, the **petition stands dismissed.**

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

05.05.2026
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No