



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

RSA-4112-2001

Date of Decision : **March 12, 2026**

STATE BANK OF PATIALA & ORS.

.....Appellants

VERSUS

TEK CHAND AGGARWAL, JMGS-I, MANAGER, SBOP

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present : Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate
Mr. Aamol Singh, Advocate and
Ms. Namisha Kapoor, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. D.D.Bansal, Advocate
for the respondent.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent submits that during the pendency of the appeal, the respondent has expired. He further submits that he has tried to contact the legal representatives of the respondent but the legal representatives are not responding.

2. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the execution of the decree under appeal was stayed by this Court. He further submits that taking into consideration the fact that the respondent has expired during the pendency of the present appeal and the legal representatives are not responding to the learned counsel for the respondent, the present regular second appeal may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further

with liberty to revive the same in case, any effort is made by the legal representatives of the respondent to get the judgment and decree of the learned Courts below executed.

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. Executing Court is also directed that without there being any direction from this Court, judgment and decree of the learned Courts be not executed.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

March 12, 2026

ajaysharma

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No