



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10977-2026
Date of decision : 10.04.2026

Kamna Puri ...Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Barjesh Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that some of the aspects could not be brought to the notice of the Tribunal, which led to the passing of the adverse order. Hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed further with liberty to file the review before the Tribunal.
2. Ordered accordingly.
3. Pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

10.04.2026
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No