



CRM-M-18422-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-18422-2026
Decided on : 10.04.2026

Rajan @ Tidi

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jasdeep Singh Gill, Addl. A.G., Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Though there is a written request, on reading of the petition and going through the order dated 16.03.2026 already passed in CRM-M-65892-2025 and CRM-M-13139-2026 (bail petitions of Bhagwan Singh and Rupinder Singh alias Roopa Singh), I do not find any reason to adjourn or dismiss the petition, resulting into the discrimination in the right of bail, therefore, Court proceeds itself with the able assistance of learned Additional A.G. Punjab.
2. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Rajan @ Tidi, aged 21 years	34	26.03.2025	21(C), 29-61-85 of NDPS Act, 1985	Ramdas	Amritsar



CRM-M-18422-2026

2

3. On the basis of chance recovery and mere suspicion, four accused, namely (i) Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi, (ii) Rajan @ Tiddi, (iii) Bhagwan Singh and (iv) Rupinder Singh @ Roopa Singh, were initially apprehended and thereafter searched.

After seeking consent and on instructions of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, search of accused Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi was conducted. During the search, a heavy object tied around his waist with a cloth under his T-shirt was noticed. Upon opening the cloth and weighing the substance, it was found to be 1 kilogram and 544 grams of heroin.

From accused-Rajan @ Tiddi, one Realme touchscreen mobile phone was recovered. From accused-Bhagwan Singh, one MI touchscreen mobile phone was recovered from the right pocket of his pant, and from the pajama of accused-Rupinder Singh @ Roopa Singh, two mobile phones—one of POCO and one of Realme company—were recovered.

4. The petitioner is in custody for the last about one year and as per the allegations, nothing incriminating has been recovered from any of the accused persons, including the present petitioner. Challan in the present case was presented on 22.09.2025, and charges were framed on 19.12.2025. Out of total 10 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined, till date. Therefore, culmination of the trial is likely to take considerable time.

6. Learned State counsel submits that the offence allegedly committed by the petitioner is not against any individual but is an offence against society at large. Therefore, petitioner is not entitled to the concession of regular bail.

It is further submitted that in case, petitioner is released on bail, there



CRM-M-18422-2026

is every likelihood that they may create problems relating to law and order and may indulge in similar activities in the future. Thus, he prays for dismissal of the present petition.

8. This Court has heard the submissions addressed by learned State counsel and has also perused the record available before it.

9. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 year and 08 days and charges were framed on 19.12.2025. Out of total 10 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined, till date. Thus, culmination of the trial is likely to take considerable time. The crucial question before the trial Court during the course of trial, would be whether the petitioner, who was allegedly present in the company of the main accused-Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi, had knowledge of the conscious possession of the recovered contraband, or not. Therefore, petitioner cannot be detained in custody for an indefinite period.

10. Considering the totality of the circumstances, nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner, and the factors noticed here above, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner in the present case.

8. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

9. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

10. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to



CRM-M-18422-2026

decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

11. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

12. Petition stands disposed of.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

April 10, 2026

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No