



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(213)

RSA-3857-2001 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-19.03.2026

JOGINDER PAL MALHOTRA ... Appellant
Versus

JOGINDER PAL MEHTA ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Kuldip Sanwal, Advocate
for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Counsel for the appellant informed that he has no instructions from the appellant. Even on the last date of hearing, counsel for the respondent has also submitted that he has no instructions from the respondent. It seems that the parties have lost interest in pursuing the appeal.

2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

19th March, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No