



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

206

CRM-M-17773-2026 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 22.05.2026.

Deepak

....Petitioner.

VERSUS

State of UT, Chandigarh

....Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Gurdeep Singh Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rakesh K. Sheoran, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of petitioner	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Deepak Singh (31 years)	79	15.07.2025	319(2), 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 61(2) of BNS (336(3), 338, 340(2) deleted later on)	Cyber Crime	UT, Chandigarh

2. During investigation, it surfaced that complainant-Priyank Mishra is a victim for cyber crime committed by the accused persons. An amount of Rs.5 Lakhs was found transferred in the bank account of petitioner-Deepak, therefore, on previous date of hearing i.e. 30.04.2026, counsel

expressed his willing to deposit an amount of Rs.5 Lakhs in the account of complainant as his client is ready to pay back the same.

Today during the course of hearing, counsel for petitioner has handed over a demand draft No.646178 dated 02.05.2026 of Union Bank of India, for an amount of Rs.5 Lakhs to learned counsel for respondent-UT, who has further handed it over to SI Kulwinder Kaur, Police Station Cyber Crime, Sector-17, Chandigarh.

3. Let the demand draft be immediately handed over to the actual victim without any further delay.

4. The petitioner is stated to be inside jail since 30.08.2025 and in view of the totality of circumstances, this Court deems it appropriate to consider the plea of bail to the petitioner as further incarceration of the petitioner inside the jail without proving of charges would be improper.

Considering the above said circumstances in totality, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner deserves the concession of regular bail. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Consequently, the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing bail/ surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

5. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

6. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an

expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

7. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

8. **Petition stands disposed of accordingly.**

Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

22.05.2026
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
Whether Reportable : Yes/ No