



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(219)

RSA No. 3671 of 2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 29.04.2026

Jeet Ram

...Appellant

Versus

Faqir Chand and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. H.S. Dhandi, Advocate
for respondent No. 1.
(Joined through Video Conferencing).

None for respondent No. 2.

Amarinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant. On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the parties had submitted that they were not in touch with their respective clients, and on their request, notices to the appellant as well as respondent No. 1 were ordered to be issued.

2. Today, as per the report of the Registry, the notice issued to the appellant has been received back unserved with the report that no such person is residing at the given address. Even today, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant.

3. In view of the above, it is evident that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the present appeal. Accordingly, the present appeal



stands dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the appellant to seek its revival within the period of limitation, if any sufficient cause is shown.

4. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

April 29, 2026
kanchan

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No