



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

235

RSA-3578-2001(O&M)

Date of Decision:-22.01.2026

Krishan Kumar (Since Deceased) through LRS ...Appellant

Versus

Hari Singh and othersRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Advocate, &
Mr. J. S. Sohal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. A perusal of the case file shows that on the previous date no one had appeared on behalf of the appellant and the Registry was directed to intimate learned counsel for the appellant about the next date of hearing. Today, despite due intimation to learned counsel for the appellant, there is no representation on his behalf.
2. In view of the above, and considering the fact that the present appeal pertains to the year 2001, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the legal representatives of the appellant to seek revival of the same, if so advised, as per law.
3. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

22.01.2026
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No