



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

164

LPA-885-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.04.2026

JATINDER SINGH

...Appellant

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Dr. Rau P.S. Girwar, Advocate with
Ms. K.T. Rau and Ms. Archana Rau, Advocates,
for the appellant.

Mr. A.S. Khara, Senior DAG, Punjab.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Counsel for the appellant contends that vide impugned judgment dated 27.03.2026, two writ petitions have been decided together, however, the facts were taken from CWP-1755-2026, titled as '*Tejpal Singh vs. State of Punjab and others*'. He states that the factual position in Tejpal Singh's case is different and factual position in appellant's case has not been discussed by the learned Single Judge while passing the impugned judgment.

2. An advance copy of the appeal has been served upon the State. Learned State counsel points out that Letters Patent Appeal No.877 of 2026, preferred by said Tej Singh against the impugned judgment has been dismissed on 30.03.2026. He has placed on record xerox copy of the case status of LPA-877-2026 and states that the detailed order is not available.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant states that as



factual position in appellant’s case has not been discussed by the Writ Court, he seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the present LPA with liberty to move an appropriate application before the learned Writ Court for review/recall of the impugned judgment qua the present appellant.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.
5. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

April 01, 2026
harish

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No