



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

128

CWP-10698-2026

Date of Decision: 08.04.2026

RINKU AND OTHERS

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Bishan Dass Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aakash Singla, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to regularise the petitioners' services and grant them all consequential benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the impugned order dated 25.08.2023, Annexure P-8, rejecting the petitioners' case for regularisation, would not be sustainable in terms of law laid down by the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal no.14831 of 2024 titled *Jaggo v. Union of India and others*, and needs reconsideration. At this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case they are permitted to make a fresh representation before the respondents raising the grievance as has been raised in this petition, and the same may be ordered to be decided within a specified period in view of the aforementioned judgment. All relevant particulars of the petitioners' service which entitle them to the claimed benefits will be mentioned therein.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State and submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioners within four weeks from today, the same will be decided by respondent no.2/Commissioner-cum-Director General, School Education, by passing a speaking order thereupon in accordance with law by taking into consideration the judgment in *Jaggo* case *ibid.*, within six months of receiving the representation.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

08.04.2026
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>