

2026:PHHC:047198



146 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RFA-2397-2004 (O&M)

Decided on:-24.03.2026

Sardul Singh

....Appellant.

VS.

State of Haryana

.Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Abhay Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Abhinav Kalia, DAG, Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. By way of present appeal, challenge has been laid to an Award dated 30.07.2004 passed by the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Panchkula, whereby the reference petition(s) preferred at the instance of appellant-landowner, was partly accepted.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the appellant submits that the present appeal is squarely covered by a decision dated 27.01.2025 passed by this Court in a bunch of appeals, lead case of which was ***RFA-3304-2005***, titled as ***“Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited vs. Ajmer Singh and another”***, whereby, the market value of the acquired land situated in the revenue estate of village Alipur, Tehsil Panchkula, District Ambala was re-assessed @ Rs.3,70,000/- per acre. The relevant portion of the judgment is reproduced hereunder:-

“Consequently, the appeals filed by the landowners are allowed and those filed by the HSIIDC are dismissed. The landowners are held entitled to the market value of the acquired land @ Rs.3,70,000/- per acre along with all the statutory benefits as per the amended 1894 Act, which now has been repealed.”

3 On the other hand, learned counsel representing the respondents is not in a position to controvert the above factual position.

4. After going through the judgment passed in ***Ajmer Singh’s case (supra)*** as well as the present case, this Court agrees with the assertion of the learned counsel for the appellants.

5. Consequently, the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms as ***Ajmer Singh’s case (supra)*** and the appellant is held entitled for similar amount of compensation @ Rs.3,70,000/- per acre as has been awarded to other similarly situated landowners along with all statutory benefits and interest available under the amended provisions of 1894 Act as applicable to the present acquisition including the interest on solatium.

6. Pending application, if any, also stand disposed of.

24.03.2026

sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
(ii) Whether reportable: Yes/ No