

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:054229



(215)

FAO-4412-2002 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-08.04.2026

BHUPINDER KAUR AND OTHERS

... Appellants

Versus

RAJPAL

. . . Respondent

:-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellants.

Ms. Shubhashish Kurkreti, Advocate,
for respondent No.4/Insurance Company.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. No one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellants today. Even on the previous date of hearing, none had appeared on behalf of the appellants. Notice has been duly served upon learned counsel for the appellants vide letter No. 1014 dated 20.02.2026; however, despite service of notice, none has appeared on behalf of the appellants.
2. Learned counsel for respondent No. 4 has further submitted that the appeal is otherwise defective, as the same has been filed for enhancement of compensation, whereas the claim petition itself was dismissed. In the absence of appearance on behalf of the appellants, it appears that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the present appeal.
3. In such circumstances, the appeal cannot be pursued any further and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
4. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellants to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order.
5. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution, miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them.

08th April, 2026
S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE