



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

126

CRM-M-18501-2026

Date of Decision: 27.04.2026

GURDIP SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Balwinder Singh Jolly, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks transfer of the investigation of FIR No. 0014 dated 21.01.2026, registered under Sections 318(4), 316(2) and 61(2) of the *Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023* (corresponding to Sections 420, 406 and 34 of the *Indian Penal Code, 1860*) at Police Station Model Town, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1), from the present Investigating Officer, respondent No.2-ASI Sunil Kumar, Chowki Incharge, Atam Park, Model Town, Ludhiana to a senior and independent police officer, not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police (DSP) or equivalent, so as to ensure a fair, impartial and thorough investigation, including the nomination and investigation of all other persons involved in the offence.
2. Learned State counsel, in pursuance of advance notice, submits that the investigation is at a nascent stage and is being conducted in accordance with law. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no locus



to direct or guide the manner of investigation or to seek arrest of any particular person. In case the petitioner remains aggrieved, an appropriate remedy would be available at the stage of filing of the final report. It is also submitted that efforts are being made to arrest the co-accused nominated in the present case.

- 3. Heard.
- 4. This Court has considered the submissions advanced by learned State counsel and finds merit therein. At this stage, no ground is made out to transfer the investigation or to issue any direction in exercise of powers under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 as the investigation is still at a nascent stage and there is nothing on record to indicate any bias, mala fides, or procedural irregularity warranting interference by this Court. Interference at this stage would be premature and may impede the fair and effective conduct of the investigation.
- 5. Accordingly, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

April 27, 2026
Nisha-1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No