

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC.050069



**CRM-M-17500-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.04.2026**

**ANSHUL ... PETITIONER
STATE OF HARYANA ... RESPONDENT**
VERSUS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Anmol Sharma, Advocate with
Ms. Vidhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (erstwhile Section 438 Cr.P.C.) in FIR No.377 dated 15.11.2025, under Sections 25(1-B), 54, 59 of the Arms Act at Police Station Jind Sadar, District Jind.
2. The case of the prosecution is that on the basis of a secret information, co-accused Ajay @ Aju was apprehended with an unauthorized pistol. Thereafter, on the basis of his disclosure statement that he had purchased the said pistol along with 04 cartridges from the petitioner for an amount of Rs.10,000/-, the present petitioner has been nominated as an accomplice, allegedly having supplied the said firearm.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and apart from the disclosure statement, there is no other evidence to connect the petitioner with the alleged recovery. He, thus, prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
4. Notice of motion.
5. Dr. Malvika Singh, D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of

the State and has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. She, on instructions from SI Vinod Kumar, P.S. Sadar Jind, further submits that the petitioner is involved in the supply of prohibited arms, which poses a serious threat to the public peace and safety and custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary to ascertain the source of the firearm.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. The allegations against the petitioner are of serious in nature as he was involved in dealing of illegal firearms which were supplied by him to the co-accused. The name of the petitioner is emerged as a supplier of illegal/prohibited firearm and custodial interrogation of the petitioner appears necessary for thorough and effective investigation of the case. Therefore, keeping in view the seriousness and gravity of the allegations, no exceptional or extraordinary grounds are made out for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

01.04.2026

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No