



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

264

CRM-M-19290-2025
DECIDED ON: 18.05.2026

MANJINDER SINGH AND OTHERSPETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. APS Rehan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Additional A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Parvesh, Advocate
Ms. Shivali Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 21.03.2025 (Annexure P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
48	24.05.2022	323, 324, 365, 148 and 149 (later on added Sections 336, 325 and 326 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act)	Qila Lal Singh, Police District Batala	Gurdaspur



2. Vide orders dated 04.02.2026, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.
3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Batala, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed. However, as per the said report, petitioner No. 7, namely Sarabjit Singh son of Sewa Singh, has since expired and, therefore, his statement with regard to the compromise could not be recorded.
4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Seven accused
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	Three complainant/victim
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	Petitioner No.7 has since expired therefore his statement could not be recorded.
5.	Whether any accused has	No



	been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	Nothing worth noticing reported

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Batala, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below

8. Petition stands disposed of.

18.05.2026
mahima

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No