

2026:PHHC:056591



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

225

CRWP-3601-2026

Date of Decision: 10.04.2026

SAKAWAT

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI.

Present: Mr. Pardeep Balyan, Advocate for
Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Rani, AAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus for the release of the detenue namely Vaid son of Jahid (real brother of petitioner), who is stated to be in the illegal custody of respondent No.4.

On 28.03.2026, following order was passed: -

“This is a criminal writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus for the release of detenues, namely, Vadil son of Jahil (sic) (real brother of petitioner), who are stated to be in illegal detention of respondent no.4.

Notice of motion 10.04.2026.

Meanwhile, the Registry is directed to appoint a Warrant Officer who shall search for the whereabouts of the alleged detenue, namely, Vadil at the address(s) mentioned in the petition or at any other place(s) as may be indicated by the petitioner, and if, the alleged detenue is found to be in illegal custody of respondent no.4, he be got released forthwith. The fee of the Warrant Officer shall be

determined by the Registry, as per Rules and is to be borne by the petitioners. The report of the Warrant Officer be also placed on record before the next date of hearing.”

In compliance with the same, the report of the Warrant Officer has been received today in Court, which is reproduced as under: -

***“IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH***

*CRWP No. 3601 of 2026
Next date of hearing: 01.04.2026*

SakawatPetitioner

V/s

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

In compliance with the order dated 28.03.2026 passed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jasjit Singh Bedi, undersigned was appointed as Warrant Officer in the above noted case on 28.03.2026.

I along with petitioner and his associate left Chandigarh at 4.00 pm on 28.03.2026. We reached CIA-II, Panipat at 7.30 pm. Petitioner pointed out towards a person sitting near the main gate of CIA-II and said that he is alleged detenue-Vadil. I along with petitioner and Vadil entered the premises of CIA-II, Panipat. PSI Abhinandan was present there. Thereafter, he called Inspector Virender Singh, In-charge, CIA-II, Panipat, who reached there in 15 minutes.

Inspector Virender Singh furnished statement (Annexure R-1) to the effect that Vadil had neither been called by CIA-II, Panipat nor he is required in any case.

Statement of Vadil (Anneuxre R-2) was recorded to the effect that he had been brought to CIA-II, Panipat at 5.00 pm on 27.03.2026. He had not been tortured by the police officials. Today at 6.00 pm he had been released.

GD entry made by the concerned police station is at Annexure R-3.

I left for Chandigarh at 2.00 am on 28.03.2026.

Report is submitted for kind perusal of His Lordship please.

Dated: 30.03.2026

Encl. 1. Two copies of Report.

2. Annexure R-1 to R-3.”

Sd/-

(Ajay Kumar)

Warrant Officer

A perusal of the report of the Warrant Officer would reveal that the alleged detainee Vadil was sitting outside the police station, and he had entered the premises of the police station alongwith the Warrant Officer and the petitioner.

On the other hand, Statement of Inspector Virender Singh was recorded to the effect that Vadil had neither been called by the CIA-II Panipat nor is he wanted in any other case.

Statement of the alleged detainee Vadil was also recorded, who stated that he had not been tortured by the police officials, though he had been brought to CIA-II, Panipat on 27.03.2026 at 05:00 PM.

Be that as it may, it is apparent that alleged detainee Vadil was not found inside the police station nor was he confined by respondent No.4.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court at this stage.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

APRIL 10, 2026.

Rajender

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No