



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

133

CWP-12600-2026
Decided On: 24.04.2026

NARENA AND OTHERS

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

MISSION DIRECTOR NATIONAL HEALTH MISSION AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Navnit Sharma, Advocate with
Ms. Sakshi Dhull, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (ORAL)

* * * *

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to extend the benefit of the judgment dated 17.11.2025 passed in **CWP-3472-2025** titled **Dr. Neha Bansal Vs. State of Haryana and others** and connected matters to the present petitioners and to dispose of the present petition in the same terms.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue involved in the present writ petition is no longer *res integra* and already stands adjudicated by this Court vide order dated 17.11.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed in **CWP-3472-2025** titled as **“Dr. Neha Bansal vs. State of Haryana and Others”**. While claiming parity with the same, it is contended that the facts and legal issues involved in the present case are identical to those considered and decided in the aforesaid judgment and, therefore, the petitioners are entitled to the same relief.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. AG Haryana, having been served with an advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and corroborated the fact that case of the present petitioners is squarely covered by the aforesaid judgment and further states that he has no objection to the adjudication of the matter in terms of the judgment rendered by this Court in ***Dr. Neha Bansal's case (supra)***.
5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, this Court is of the considered view that the controversy raised in the present petition is squarely covered by the judgment dated 17.11.2025 (Annexure P-3) passed in ***Dr. Neha Bansal's case (supra)***.
6. Consequently, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order rendered in ***Dr. Neha Bansal's case (supra)*** and the respondents are directed to extend the same benefits to the petitioners, as admissible under law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
7. Ordered accordingly.
8. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

24.04.2026

NainaRajput

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>:Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>:Yes/No</i>