

**CWP-9677-2026****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****115****CWP-9677-2026****Date of Decision: 19.05.2026****Rajbir Singh****...Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana & others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL****Present: - Mr. Mohinder Pal, Advocate for the petitioner****Mr. Akshit Pathania, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana****Ms. Archana Vashisht, Advocate
for Mr. Gaurav Pathak, Advocate for respondent No.2****Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate for respondent No.4***********JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent Nos.3 & 5 to grant him compensation for loss of his wheat crop caused due to digging of soil on account of installing Powergrid line towers in the adjoining land. He is further seeking writ of prohibition directing respondents not to grant the claim before partition of joint Khewat No.133/117.

2. As per claim of petitioner, labour/machinery in the month of December' 2025 started soil digging process over the land where he had sown wheat crop. On account of that nearly 4 Kanals wheat crop area has been damaged and sown seeds could not be germinated. Respondent No.4 issued notice dated 12.01.2026 and the same was signed by the petitioner. Feeling aggrieved, he served legal notice dated 13.01.2026 claiming damages and compensation for the loss suffered by him. On 13.02.2026, he

**CWP-9677-2026****-2-**

collected *jamabandi* from Revenue Authorities only to find out that he is joint owner of unpartitioned property where damage is caused. He preferred an application dated 16.03.2026 to respondent No.5 not to pay compensation for jointly owned property.

3. Learned State counsel assures the Court that respondent No.3-Sub-Divisional Officer, Jhajjar who is Chairman of User Committee would consider petitioner's claim and pass an appropriate order within 6 weeks from today. If claim is found valid, he would be entitled to compensation from respondent No.4-Powergrid, Sikar Khetri Transmission Limited.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to aforesaid arrangement.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

19.05.2026*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No