



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(108)

COCP-1426-2026

Date of decision: - 16.04.2026

ASI Roshani Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

Sudhir Rajpal and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, Advocate, and
Ms. Komal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that in pursuance of the order dated 22.12.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, a speaking order dated 10.04.2026 has been passed and a copy of the same has been handed over to the Court which is taken on record and has been marked as "Mark A". A copy of the said order has also been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner. It is further submitted that as per the said order, the petitioner has been restored to the position of Officiating ASI with immediate effect and the said order is subject to the decision in the LPA. The relevant portion of the said speaking order is reproduced herein below: -

"Therefore, in compliance with the order dated 22.12.2025 passed in CWP No. 32380 of 2025 and in compliance of interim order dated 02.04.2026 passed in COCP No. 1426 of 2026, the



punishment order reduction from the rank of ASI to HC in r/o LHC Roshni Devi issued vide this office order No. 461-468/Steno dated 07.04.2025 is hereby set-aside/withdrawn. She is hereby restored to the position of officiating ASI with immediate effect. Since an LPA is pending against it, this compliance order will be subject to the final outcome of LPA.

All concerned to note for necessary action.

*Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Headquarters, Gurugram.”*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that since the petitioner has been restored to her position as Officiating ASI, thus, the consequential benefits be also released to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents has fairly submitted that the consequential benefits would also be released to the petitioner within a period of two months from today but the same would be subject to the decision in the LPA.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the same, the present contempt petition be disposed but the respondents be bound by the statement made before this Court.

5. In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of. The respondents would be bound by the statement made before this Court.

April 16, 2026
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No