



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9667-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01.04.2026

Charan Dass

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Cyrus Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, by way of instant writ petition, as cast under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, prays for issuance of a Mandamus upon the respondents to pay him the full amount of retiral dues, including gratuity etc., along with interest, as has been illegally deducted/recovered from his gratuity to the tune of Rs.3,00,554/-

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 11.11.2025 (Annexure P-7), with the respondent authorities, as regards his concerned/grievances. However, owing to a lackadaisical and indolent approach of the authorities concerned, a considerable time has elapsed, but the matter has not made any tangible progress.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and waives service. At the outset, he fairly submits that he has no objection, in case, a Mandamus is passed upon the respondents, to take a final decision upon the representation (supra).

5. In view of the above, and since a *bona fide* and innocuous prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, by issuing a Mandamus upon the competent authority,



amongst the respondents, to consider and decide the representation (supra), within a period of six weeks from the receipt of a certified copy of this order.

6. Needless to assert that before drawing a final order, a due opportunity of hearing shall be afforded to the petitioner.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

01.04.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No