



139 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18360-2026
Date of decision: 12.05.2026

SUNRISE UNIVERSITY

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Sahil Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.
(through video conferencing)

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of order dated 14.02.2020
(Annexure P-6) passed by respondent No.2, whereby re-investigation was
ordered to be carried out to conduct the further investigation in case bearing
FIR No.183 dated 10.07.2018 registered under Sections 120-B, 406 and 420 of
Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Adampur, District Hisar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner
had earlier filed a petition bearing **CRM-M No.34659 of 2018** before this
Court seeking quashing of present FIR, which was disposed of vide order
dated 21.02.2019 (Annexure P-2) passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this
Court with a direction to examine the issue of territorial jurisdiction to register
the FIR and submit a report before the concerned Chief Judicial Magistrate. It
was further directed that in case, it was found that it had no jurisdiction, the
investigation to be transferred to Alwar. However, the said order was not
complied with. It is further contended that respondent No.2, while passing the



impugned order for re-investigation, did not consider that during investigation, the police did not find any concrete evidence regarding commission of offence within the jurisdiction of Police Station Adampur.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. Mr. Surender Singh Pannu, Addl. A.G., Haryana, present in the Court, accepted notice on behalf of respondent-State and submitted that the impugned order has been passed rightly as malpractices are going on with regard to the affairs of the University/petitioner and whether the same have been done by the officials of the University or some miscreants, can be determined, after proper investigation.
- 5. Heard.
- 6. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to conclude the investigation of the present FIR expeditiously, preferably within a period of two months from the date of this order.

May 12, 2026
manisha

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |